

KARL MARX:
PROPHET OF THE RED HORSEMAN

©2005 by Marvin H. Clark, Jr., Esq.

Our world witnessed its first communist movement during the French Revolution. The red flag, the dogmatic slogans, the communes, the killing of Christians, they all were present. However, it remained for the future Russian Revolution in 1917 to define communism as a world movement. When the French Revolution happened, few modern theories of communist thought had been committed to print. The madness of Rousseau had fueled the French Revolution. But the world had not yet heard from the philosopher, Georg Wilhelm Friedrich Hegel, and his most notable ideological descendant, Karl Marx.

Hegel made his appearance during the French Revolution. Because of Napoleon's successful legal reforms across Europe, after the revolution had ended monarchs began thinking about further reforms and how better to control people. Hegel was a young college professor whose political and social theories won favor with the Prussian government, and then came into world prominence.

Hegel's theories gave rise to great social movements that picked up where the French Revolution had ended. Those movements briefly fortified themselves behind the barricades of Dresden and became known as the Revolution of 1848. Europe's "huddled masses" were hungry. However, as the ongoing industrial revolution unfolded, many of the hardships previously suffered by the masses began to soften, and so too did the common peoples' complaints. Prosperity began to trickle down to those beneath and a true middle class developed

across Europe. No longer were the masses huddled, but rapidly advancing. The tinder fuel once so explosive began to dampen, and by the 1890's Europe was relatively at peace. Nevertheless, the assault upon Christianity seemingly continued unabated. The masses still were solidly Christian. But social termites were busily destroying moral underpinnings.

The Revolution of 1848 had thrown fresh enemy forces into the fray. By 1900, these managed to reignite Hegel, now silent for two generations. The anti-Christian cadre that developed during the French Revolution would not be silenced by progress. Nor would they leave town silently. Their ranks had swollen during the Revolution of 1848, to include newcomers — among whom were greater numbers of Sabbatians¹, who had been affected by the social “Enlightenment” much later than other Europeans. Determined as never before, the fresh anti-Christian troops sought to make the 20th Century their own. We still contend with them today.

Following Hegel, there developed in his ideological camp two opposing views, both of which were totally anti-Christian. These are known as the Hegel “left” wing and the Hegel “right” wing. Today, we know the Hegel left as communists, and the Hegel right as Nazis. Both

¹“Sabbatians” are renegade followers of Sabbatai Zevi, who, in 1666 A.D. was proclaimed “Messiah” by Nathan of Gazu in Palestine. His arrival was celebrated worldwide by many who were deceived. This false Messiah was a “Son of Perdition” because he married a prostitute and preached that Israel could be redeemed through the commission of evil deeds and abominable, blasphemous sacrilege. Later, leaders claiming to be “reincarnated” from this man included the need to act proactively against non-Jewish governmental authority and gentile societies, to weaken their nations. The idea was that Israel “must amend itself and become its own Messiah” in order to achieve the promised kingdom. The entire history of this movement is available from strictly Jewish sources. *The Messianic Idea in Judaism*, by Gershom (Professor of Jewish Mysticism at the Hebrew University in Jerusalem), Schocken Books, New York (1971); *Sabbatai Zevi: The Mystical Messiah*, (1000 pages long), by Gershom Scholem, Princeton University Press, (1973); *To Eliminate the Opiate*, by Rabbi Marvin S. Antelman, Zahavia, Ltd, New York and Tel Aviv, 1974; *Politics of Bad Faith*, by David Horowitz, The Free Press, New York 1998; *America’s Real War*, by Rabbi Daniel Lapin, Multnomah Publishers, Inc., 1999.

are competing versions of the same totalitarian premise: that individual liberty must be sacrificed for the benefit of a centralized dictatorship.

The originator of the Hegel left, Karl Marx, was the first of what has since become a long line of apparently Sabbatian theorists who have dominated that wing of the totalitarian movement. The Hegel right that flowered under Hitler was soundly defeated by the allies at the end of World War II. The Hegel left has never been defeated, even with the demise of the Soviet Union. It has continued in a constant state of slow metamorphosis until the present time.

During the last half of the twentieth century, economic theories of the Hegel right were reconsidered by the left. By the mid-1960's, a strong contingent of the left appears to have decided that the old Nazi corporate cartels offered a better solution for collectivization in the west. This group seems to have accepted the Nazi economic model, to the delight of our own corporate and banking elites. As a result, leftist radicals like Jerry Rubin literally turned to careers on Wall Street. As late as the Clinton administration, these strange allies appeared to be marching toward a consolidated New World Order under the guise of American globalization.

Nevertheless, we must not forget to underpin our survey of socialist theory with an understanding of the ideological building blocks that have resulted in our current regime.

Therefore, we start with Karl Marx.

Karl Marx was the son of an “enlightened” well-to-do Jewish attorney, who introduced his son to the writings of Hegel and philosophers of the French Revolution. Karl’s parents had converted to Christianity in order to obtain positions in Prussia, as was the custom for many Jews in those days. Otherwise, Jews were given few civil rights in Prussia. Young Karl seems to have received sound Christian training as a child. Early on, he wrote beautiful poetry, praising Jesus.

It appears, however, that his parents never were more than nominal Christians, and were perhaps Sabbatians. This also would have been commonplace in Prussia at that time.

Shortly after leaving high school (the German equivalent), history records strange changes in young Marx. His poetry changed dramatically, and he wrote, “I wish to avenge myself against the One who rules above.”² He also wrote a piece entitled, Invocation of One in Despair?, which is quoted in part below:

“So a god has snatched from me my all
In the curse and rack of destiny.
All his worlds are gone beyond recall
Nothing but revenge is left to me

. . . .

I shall build my throne high overhead
Cold, tremendous shall its summit be.
For its bulwark—superstitious dread.
For its Marshall—blackest agony.

. . . .

Who looks on it with a healthy eye,
Shall turn back, deathly pale and dumb,
Clutched by blind and chill mortality.
May his happiness prepare its tomb.”³

²Was Karl Marx a Satanist?, by Richard Wurmbrand, Diane Books Publishing Co., 1976, p. 9.

³Ibid., p. 10.

In this piece, Marx seems to be mimicking Lucifer's declaration that, "I will ascend into heaven and exalt my throne above the stars of God." Perhaps Satan already had entered into Marx. Eventually, Marx wrote further,

"The hellish vapors rise and fill the brain,
Till I go mad and my heart is utterly changed.
See this sword?
The prince of darkness
Sold it to me.
For me beats the time and gives the signs.
Ever more boldly I play the dance of death."⁴

"See this sword? The prince of Darkness sold it to me." What price did Marx pay for that sword? And, considering the 250 millions of humanity who have been slaughtered as a result of his writings, was this the "great sword" that was given to the "Red Horseman" in the Book of Revelations?

Elsewhere, young Marx wrote,

"And they are also Oulanem, Oulanem.
The name rings forth like death, rings forth
Until it dies away in a wretched crawl.
Stop, I've got it now! It rises from my soul
As clear as air, as strong as my own bones."

⁴Ibid., p. 12.

.....

“Yet I have power within my youthful arms
To clench and crush you with tempestuous force,
While for us both the abyss yawns in darkness
You will sink down and I shall follow laughing,
Whispering in your ears, “Descend,
come with me, friend.”⁵

Here Marx is clearly writing about going to hell and forcing others to go with him. Marx apparently desired to get even with God, so, he secured a great sword in some pact with Satan, and set about to crush mankind in order to pull as many people into hell with himself as possible. All of this in poetry by the man who “cared” for the masses.

In any event, the elder Marx frequently quarreled with young Karl, mostly over his waste of money. But at one point there were some rather cryptic writings in their letters. Karl wrote to his father,

“A curtain had fallen. My holy of holies was rent asunder and new gods had to be installed.”⁶

A curtain had fallen? Did Karl become disillusioned with the Christian faith? New gods? Did he become initiated into a new religion? His father responded strangely, “I refrained from

⁵Ibid.

⁶Ibid.

insisting on explanation about a very mysterious matter although it seemed highly dubious.”⁷

A couple of years later, in 1839, Karl Marx finally met his political mentor, one Moses Hess, A Jewish socialist and founder of the German Social Democrat Party. Hess introduced Marx to “class struggle” and principles of socialism. He wrote of Marx,

“Dr. Marx --- my idol, who will give the last kick to medieval religion and politics.”⁸

Hess most likely was a Sabbatian cultist. He declared the Jewish and Christian religions to be “dead,”⁹ while also writing about “our holy writings,” “the holy language of our fathers,” “our cult,” “the divine laws,” “the ways of Providence,” and “godly life.”¹⁰ He declared himself a champion of class struggle, while also writing,

“Race struggle is primary; class struggle is secondary.”¹¹

The only way to reconcile Hess’ writings, and to allow them to appear entirely consistent, is to assume that Hess was a Sabbatian cultist. Sabbatians are virulent racists, and caustic anti-Christianites, who abandoned true Judaism, and were soundly denounced by the most renowned

⁷Ibid., p. 16.

⁸Ibid.

⁹Ibid., p. 20.

¹⁰Ibid., pp. 55-67.

¹¹Ibid.

rabbis during the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries.¹² The traditional rabbis condemned the Sabbatian cult because of its blasphemous practices and because of its links to the occult.

What Karl Marx got from Moses Hess, he shared with another Hess protégée, Mikhail Bakunin, a renowned Russian anarchist, who penned these words,

“. . . here steps in Satan, the eternal rebel, the first freethinker and the emancipator of worlds. He makes man ashamed of his bestial ignorance and obedience; he emancipates him, stamps upon his brow the seal of liberty and humanity, in urging him to disobey and eat of the fruit of knowledge.”¹³

And again, Bakunin wrote,

“In this revolution *we will have to awaken the devil in the people,* to stir up the basest passions.”¹⁴

Marx and Bakunin were both introduced by Hess to Pierre-Joseph Proudhon, a major socialist thinker, and who, according to Bakunin, was a Satanist.¹⁵ Proudhon wrote,

“We reach knowledge in spite of him, we reach society in spite of

¹²The Messianic Idea In Judaism, by Gershom Scholem, Schocken Books, New York, 1971; To Eliminate The Opiate, by Rabbi Marvin S. Antelman, Zahavia LTD., New York - Tel Aviv, 1974.

¹³Was Karl Marx a Satanist?, by Richard Wurmbrand, Diane Books Publishing Co., 1976 pp. 55-67.

¹⁴Ibid., p. 22.

¹⁵Ibid., pp. 22-23

him. Every step forward is a victory in which we overcome the Divine. God is stupidity and cowardice; God is hypocrisy and falsehood; God is tyranny and poverty; God is evil. Where humanity bows before an altar, humanity, the slave of kings and priests, will be condemned. . . I swear, God, with my hand stretched out towards the heavens, that you are nothing more than the executioner of my reason, the sceptre of my conscience. . . God is essentially anti-civilized, anti-liberal, anti-human.”¹⁶

Proudhon wore the same hair style normal for members of the nineteenth century Satanist cult of Joanna Southcott - - long hair and great bushy beard. Perhaps not surprisingly, it is Karl Marx’ image that today most readily calls forth a recollection of that same hair style.

Finally, after Marx died, his former housemaid revealed that,

“He was a God-fearing man. When very sick, he prayed alone in his room before a row of lighted candles, tying a sort of tape measure around his forehead.”¹⁷

Jews often wear phylacteries around their heads during morning prayers. But these also have a little leather box approximately 3 inches square attached containing prayers. Marx never openly practiced Judaism, and the housemaid apparently never mentioned any little “leatherbox.” Marx

¹⁶Ibid.

¹⁷Ibid.

did, however, write diatribes condemning *all* God-worship. Jews would not use such a “row” of candles, although other religions and even satanic cultists would. The housemaid described a “sort of tape measure around his forehead” which implies that it may have had the inscriptions of a ruler on it. Freemasons use a 24 inch ruler to remind them that there are 24 hours in each day, during which they are to be busy. A typical man’s head has about 24 inches circumference. Perhaps Marx had connections with French freemasonry through the Grand Orient of Paris, since his great “falling away” from Christianity occurred while he was a student in Paris. The Grand Orient was known to have Sabbatians among its ranks, too.

Needless to say, no historian of Marx ever has fully researched this obscure but profound part of the man’s private life. There is more than enough evidence at present to confirm that Marx was no atheist, and that he had strong connections with the occult. The evidence clearly implies that he was a Sabbatian occultist.

And so, Karl Marx, founder of the Communist Party and author of the Communist Manifesto, the chief promoter of a system for “avowed” *atheists*, was himself *not* an atheist, but an associate of Satanists and devil worshipers. This obvious paradox can be explained if Marx was a Sabbatian cultist. While Sabbatians believe in the existence of God, they angrily renounce God the Father, seeking to become gods unto themselves instead. They are nihilists. They seek to destroy all that is, because in their eyes nothing that is remains worth saving. Leading the world into hell through atheism and destruction of faith in God would accomplish this goal on a grand scale. Communism did *this* to its victims who succumbed to its teachings.

Aside from his obvious bent towards metaphysics, Marx is best known for writing the *Communist Manifesto*, and *Capital*. Marx helped found the Communist Party and provided

almost all of the ideological fuel necessary to ignite the horrendous social conflagrations of the 20th Century. Those conflagrations resulted in death to some 250 million people. Today we recognize that his economic theories are totally bankrupt because of their failure in the Soviet Union. But before the Soviet collapse, this was not obvious because of the raw power that the Soviet government wielded. We never were allowed to observe the Soviet Empire slowly rotting from within. Our ignorance of all of this protected leftists here at home with an air of credibility.

Marx failed because he never understood either society or economics. His social theories have caused nothing but dehumanizing debauchery. His economic theories led only to wretched poverty. His social theories were never fully carried out by the Soviets who wisely recognized their danger. But his economic theories were practiced to the end, until the Soviet Empire became paralyzed by inefficiency and finally imploded upon itself.

Marx believed that ordinary workers always produce “excess value” as a result of their labor, but that the wealthy business owner always “robs” the worker of this excess, thereby making himself a profit. Marx proposed replacing the private businessman with government bureaucrats. The government would own all means of production, and would distribute the “excess value” to the workers according to their needs. Marx theorized that government eventually would fade away into the sunset, leaving mankind living in utopia. Only problem was, it didn’t work. People literally starved to death because of it, and died as slaves.

Marx believed that human culture is determined by its economic system. He wanted to change the economic system in order to change society. His thesis was exactly opposite that of Max Weber. Max Weber wrote in his book, *Protestant Ethic and the Spirit of Capitalism*, that capitalism and all of its prosperity resulted from Protestant culture. That meant that before a

people can be prosperous, they first must have a culture that encourages prosperity. Thus *culture* determines the economic system.

People in India starve because of the Hindu religion. People in Africa starve because they remain nomads, or hunter-gatherers, and refuse to farm. People in crowded China usually don't starve because they are industrious – even under communism. Protestantism encouraged thrift and hard work - a winning combination anywhere in the world. But Marx taught that the destruction of all religion, confiscation of all property, and complete government control would create utopia. Perhaps it did this - but only for the few gangsters who sat safely inside the Kremlin walls.

It was said that if the government owned all of the property, then all of the property would be owned by “the people.” Because all of the property belonged to everyone, it really belonged to no one - -except the government. Since “everyone” was responsible for taking care of the property, it was maintained by no one.

Shortly after the Soviet system collapsed, I visited Moscow and personally observed that almost none of the pay phones worked. Elevators often did not work. There were no water fountains in town, nor any public bathrooms outside of hotels. There were restaurants but very little to eat in them. Store shelves were bare. And to entertain guests, one needed to have many generous neighbors in the apartment building to help out. The public water supply was so badly contaminated that the Russians avoided drinking from it. I watched people carrying water from a nearby spring in the very neighborhood where President Yeltsin and most of his deputies lived. Everything in Russia was destroyed, except items carefully preserved in museums and art galleries. Even these treasures were in constant danger of disappearing so that the employees

working there could acquire money on the street to feed their families.

Karl Marx would have been very proud of the condition of Russia after the Soviet collapse. As a young man, he poetically proclaimed his desire to destroy all mankind. His later political writings certainly did *that* to the Russian people, as well as hundreds of millions of others around the globe.

The madness of Rousseau that had fueled the French Revolution was fulfilled through Marx. The grand schemes envisioned by Hegel became human nightmares through Marx. Marx certainly was a descendant of Hegel, although he differed significantly. And it turned out that those differences wound up distinguishing Marx's communism from Hitler's Nazism 100 years later.

The differences between communism and Nazism are simple. Whereas the fascist Hegel "right" stressed "national" socialism based upon a world of nation-states, the Marxist Hegel "left" preached a one-world system of "international" socialism. Whereas the Marxist "internationalists" touted state ownership of property, Nazi "nationalists" believed in state control of the owners of property. Instead of the government dispossessing businesses, Nazi's organized their economic system using huge corporate cartels formed by massive corporate mergers. The Marxist approach would eliminate all national sovereignty in favor of a world without national borders, and a one-world government. The Nazis envisioned a system of nation states ruled by one or two big bully states.

The reason that the "Nationalistic" approach was preferred by the Nazis was that it suited German aspirations. The Germans had a homeland. However the "International" approach

suited the aspirations of the Bolsheviks who conquered Russia, because these people lacked any nation-state identity. “Russians” occupied only 29 of the top 545 posts in the new Bolshevik government. The rest were comprised of anti-Russian nationalities, most of whom entered Russia illegally with “Lenin” and “Trotzky,” and hijacked the “Russian” revolution after the Czar had already abdicated. Only after the Soviet system proved itself not to work did Bolsheviks in the west begin shifting their ideas toward Nazi economics.

So, this is how the dichotomy of “left” versus “right” came to be in the Hegel camp. But all of this occurred in Europe, far from Christian America and never was explained to Americans when Hegelians of both stripes, all “socialists,” became active here in the early 20th Century.

This ignorance has allowed American conservatives to be slandered. Those controlling our flow of information have *never* explained this distinction between “left” and “right” in the socialist camp. Instead, they have *lied* to the people. Americans have been told that the distinction is only between “left” liberals and “right” conservatives, and that the “right” conservatives in America are akin to Nazis in Europe.

Nazis *are* socialists — just like Communists, only a different brand. Both are ideological descendants of Hegel. American conservatives are *not* socialists, and don’t believe in Hegelian principles. Most “conservatives” in America despise *all* kinds of socialism. They despise big government. Most “conservatives” in America tend to follow Christian principles and are individualistic in their behavioral patterns. American conservatives cannot tolerate the “collectivist,” herd animal mentality that is akin to *both* left and right wings of socialism. Therefore, American “conservatives” have never been, and *can never* be socialists, which would be absolutely necessary before they ever could be properly labeled as “Nazis.”

So, our government media has misled Americans for 50 years, linking Christian conservatives with “Nazis,” when Nazis actually are socialist brothers of the Communists. The government media *never* explains *why* WWII began with Communists and Nazis both fighting *on the same side!* Most Americans don’t even realize that this occurred.

What has further confused Americans is that most media pundits never discuss the fact that Communism and Nazism are *only two* of an unlimited possible variety of socialist dictatorships. The mere fact that two *different* types of socialist organizations have already existed means that an infinite number of *other* kinds are also possible. It is a matter of mixing and matching, flavoring, and trying out new psychological approaches, all aimed at “capturing” a nation’s population.

The American people know how to recognize economic Communism. They recognize racially hateful Nazism. But beyond that, most Americans have been kept entirely ignorant of the full range of possible configurations. It is possible to focus so intently only on the particular with which we are familiar, that we totally miss equally dangerous forms, that fall within the general range of possibilities. More simply put, we don’t see the entire forest because we are looking at only two trees. This is what has happened here in America today. America now has been subjected to its own special blend of socialist tyranny - - one that gives an illusion of freedom, while deliberately crushing the people’s memory of what freedom really is.

Most Americans will admit that “something” is dreadfully wrong. But most of these same folks will freeze and retreat into denial as soon as they are confronted with obvious socialist tyranny. This is “*America*,” and they still haven’t admitted to themselves that it has now happened here, too. How else can we stomach 45 million murdered babies, and homosexuals

openly teaching small children in our public schools? The situation now is so ugly that most people simply refuse to admit reality because to do so is too traumatic. People are struggling to “cope” with the ugliness. The German people also coped with horror by retreating into denial. Now, it is America’s turn, and we are doing the same.

The American people were long spared involvement in the Hegelian debate. Our revolution was clearly founded upon Christian principals. “All men are created equal,” to stand before an almighty God equally. People on our side of the Atlantic never really understood that the French had a completely different idea when their Revolution promoted “equality,” “fraternity,” and “liberty.” We were ignorant of this fact.

Although concerned about the killing, our people never understood the nature of the revolutionaries in France. We simply appreciated the Louisiana Purchase from France and the fact that France was fighting England - - our enemy. Our grandfathers didn’t realize what the world’s first communist revolution in France meant for their descendants and the world as a whole. They had never witnessed one before. Our grandfathers were, for the most part, well-meaning but ideologically ignorant, Christian farmers.

It remained until the 20th Century, for Hegel’s descendants, the invading hordes of Marxist zealots, to transplant poison to our side of the Atlantic in measurable quantities, and to gain the social and economic high ground here. By 1900, those formerly called “Jacobins” were called “Socialists” or “Marxists.” In the 1920's, these also became known as “Bolsheviks,” and then as “communists.” We still have trouble identifying them as “Nazis,” too, but they were also the same. Today, we call them all “liberals.” Those we formerly called “liberals” in the 1960's - - they’re now called “neo-conservatives,” by those who stole the “liberal” label from them.

The totalitarians who now claim to be traditional American “liberals” are anything but freedom-minded. They are Marxists, Bolsheviks, communists. Many of these present “liberals” were members of the American Communist Party a generation ago. They are still trying to become tyrants -- our masters. These totalitarians have been salting America with a new blend of socialism, known worldwide as “Western Marxism.”

Inside America, “Western Marxism” is further cloaked, and coded under the unsuspecting title of “critical theory.” “Critical theory” is easily found in the philosophy section of any large, well-stocked bookstore. Critical theory is a blend of philosophies from Marx, Neitzche, Freud, DeSade, and Wilhelm Reich.

This new totalitarian philosophy was custom-mixed for the United States by a little known group of scholarly revolutionaries, who worked under the identity of the “Frankfurt Institute of Social Research,” from 1923 until well into the 1970's. This group existed right here in America, right here under our noses. It is “critical theory” and the Frankfurt Institute that we will ultimately deal with. But in order to understand critical theory, we must examine the rest of the underlying philosophers, upon whose shoulders the philosophical building blocks for critical theory were laid.